UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,349	10/06/2004	Naoki Ayai	040256-0134	7524
22428 7590 02/23/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			ARBES, CARL J	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/510,349	AYAI, NAOKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	C. J. Arbes	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 9-11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>herein</u>. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/510,349

Art Unit: 3729

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshita et al. (Pat No. 5,145,835) hereinafter Takeshita et al Takeshita et al teach a process for fabrication of superconducting ceramic materials by HIP treatment. A metal casting containing a starting powder material having a composition for forming an oxide superconductor is prepared. The starting material is calcined in the casing at about 850-950 degrees Celsius. The casing is subjected to a HIP treatment, to a hot deforming treatment an then to a cold deforming treatment. According to the evidence (Cf. bottom of Col 4 in Takeshita et al) the casing can be sealed in a vacuum. The raw materials can be calcined or heat treated at a temperature range between 300-950 degrees Celsius (Cf. Col 4). It would have been obvious at the time of this invention to provide that the packing density of the raw material powder was between 10-40% inasmuch as between these amounts of density the optimum results will occur and this range of packing densities would be within the skill of a PHOSITA. Moreover it will be noted that Takeshita et al do not teach any specific depressuirization speeds. This is because a PHOSITA would determine, by use of ordinary skill in this art, the depressurization speed range which is recited in claims 1 and 2 for the method of manufacturing of a superconducting wire. As applied to claims 5 and 9 it is held that the recited methods of sealing the metal pipe are all old and hence obvious in view of the prior art. As applied to claim 6 and it is held that the temperatures taught in the prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/510,349

Art Unit: 3729

are substantially within those recited in this claim so as to make obvious the claimed invention

Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 9-11 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makai et al (Pat No 5,462,920) hereinafter Makai et al.

Makai et al teach a method of preparing oxide superconducting wire wherein powder is placed into a metal casing which is degassed with a high vacuum and sealed. (Cf. Abstract) The casing is extruded and then heat treated. The casing is made by electron beam welding (Cf. Col 2) and the hydrostatic extrusion is carried out with a temperature not more than 600 degrees Celsius. It would have been obvious to provide a packing density of the raw material is between 10-40% if, in fact, Makai et al do not expressly teach this limitation for the same reason as given *supra* i.e. obtain optimum results.

Moreover it is noted that Makei et al do not teach any specific depressurization speeds. This is because a PHOSITA would determine, by use of ordinary skill in this art, the depressurization speed range which is recited in claims 1 and 2. As applied to claim 6 and it is held that the temperatures taught in the prior art are substantially within those recited in this claim so as to make obvious the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. J. Arbes whose telephone number is 571-272-4563. The examiner can normally be reached on M,, T, R and F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Vo, can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/510,349

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. J. Arbes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3729